

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/678,859	10/03/2003	In-Soon Son	0104-P0003A	0104-P0003A 2448	
66837 HYUN JONG	7590 01/17/2007 PARK		EXAMINER		
41 WHITE BIRCH ROAD			NGUYEN, TUAN VAN		
REDDING, CT	1 06896-2209		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3731		-	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 Г	DAYS	01/17/2007	. PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/678,859	SON, IN-SOON			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tuan V. Nguyen	3731			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period fo						
WHI6 - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the triangle and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	NN. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction and/or el	ection requirement.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		ation No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
	application from the International Burea					
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	·	ved.			
Attachme	nt(s)	· <u>_</u>				
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail				
3) 🔲 Info	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) her No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal				

Application/Control Number: 10/678,859

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application also contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

The species are as follows:

<u>Species</u>	<u>Figures</u>	<u>Claims</u>
1	1a, 2, 3a and 4	1-3
2 .	1b, 2, 3b and 4	4-6
3	1c, 2, 3c and 4	7-9

No generic claim

- Claim 1, which is read on Figure 1a, requires a hinge part having a spring for coupling the pair of finger grip part. Claim 4, which is read on Figure 1b, requires a clip type body made of an elastic material, the clip is bent to configured to grip the back of the hand. Claim 7, which is read on Figure 1c, required a band and fixing member for fixing the band member to the finger. Therefore, the inventions are distinct and required a divergent fields of search.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,859

Art Unit: 3731

- 4. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.
- 5. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 6. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 7. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at

Application/Control Number: 10/678,859

Art Unit: 3731

least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 4

 Due to the complexity of this requirement, no solicitation of an oral election was made. This requirement is being sent out by mail only.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan V. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-5962. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, AnhTuan Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan V. Nguyen January 5, 2007

ANHTUAN T. NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER